1 Scott L. Tedmon, Esq., CSBN 96171 Law Offices of Scott L. Tedmon 2 1050 Fulton Avenue **Suite 218** 3 Sacramento, CA 95825 4 Tel: 916-482-4545 Fax: 916-482-4550 5 Attorney for Defendant **Hoda Samuel** 6 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. CR S 10-0223-JAM

Plaintiff.

VS.

PROTECTIVE ORDER CONCERNING FORENSIC COMPUTER EVALUATION

HODA SAMUEL, et al.

Defendants.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

[PROPOSED] ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Federal Bureau of Investigation made a duplicate copy of the hard drive and any other storage media available for defense analysis.
- 2. The duplicate copies of the hard drive and storage media shall be made available for defense counsel, Scott L. Tedmon, Esq. and paralegal Troy Ellerman, and defendant's proposed expert, Robert Beegle, Delta Phase, Inc., to review at the High Tech Task Force offices in Sacramento, California for the purpose of preparing for the defense of the above-entitled action. The images on the hard drive and storage media shall not be viewed by any other person.

1

3. A private room will be provided for the defense examination. No Government agents will be inside the room during the examination;

- 4. The expert will be permitted to bring whatever equipment, books, or records he believes may be necessary to conduct the examination;
- Neither the defense expert nor defense attorneys nor the defense paralegal shall remove the hard drive or other storage media from the confines of the law enforcement office.
- 6. With the exception of materials that would be considered child pornography under federal law (including visual depictions and data capable of conversion into a visual depiction), the expert may download and remove files or portions of files, provided the forensic integrity of the hard drive is not altered. The expert will certify in writing (using the attached certification), that he has taken no materials which would be considered child pornography, or data capable of being converted into child pornography, (under federal law) and that he has not caused any child pornography to be sent from the law enforcement premises by any means including by any electronic transfer of files.
- 7. Except when a defense expert fails to provide this certification, no Government agent, or any person connected with the Government, will examine or acquire in any fashion any of the items used by the expert in order to conduct the defense analysis. Should a defense expert fail to certify that the expert has not copied or removed child pornography, or data capable of being converted into child pornography, Government agents may then inspect or examine the materials in order to ensure that prohibited child pornography has not been removed.
- 8. When the defense indicates that it is finished with its review of the copy of the hard drives, the drive(s) or other storage devices shall be "wiped" clean.

///// /////

/////

Case 2:10-cr-00223-JAM Document 225 Filed 03/29/12 Page 3 of 4

9. Any disputes regarding the above or problems implementing this order shall be brought to the attention of the court through representative counsel after fit consulting opposing counsel. IT IS SO ORDERED: Dated: March 28, 2012 /s/ John A. Mendez U. S. District Court Judge 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 19 20 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
consulting opposing counsel. IT IS SO ORDERED: Dated: March 28, 2012 /s/ John A. Mendez U. S. District Court Judge U. S. District Court Judge	rst
1 T IS SO ORDERED: Dated: March 28, 2012 S John A. Mendez U. S. District Court Judge	
Transport Tran	
Dated: March 28, 2012 /s/ John A. Mendez U. S. District Court Judge Court Judge	
Dated: March 28, 2012 Solution John A. Mendez U. S. District Court Judge	
U. S. District Court Judge	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15 16 17 18 19	
15 16 17 18 19	
16 17 18 19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10	
17 18 19	
18 19	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
3	

	Case 2:10-cr-00223-JAM Document 225 Filed 03/29/12 Page 4 of 4
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SACRAMENTO DIVISION
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. CR S 10-0223-JAM
7	Plaintiff, (
8	vs.
9	{
10	YGNACIA BRADFORD,
11	Defendants.
12	<u></u>
13	
14	CERTIFICATION
15 16	I,, certify under penalty of perjury that I have not copied
17	or removed any images of child pornography or data capable of being converted into images of
18	child pornography, or caused the same to be transferred electronically (or by any other means) to
19	any other location, during the course of my review of the evidence in this case.
20	
21	Dated:
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4
	T

Proposed Protective Order
Regarding Defense Forensic Computer Evaluation
PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com

Case No. CR.10-0223 JAM